The Social Security Trustees Report is a detailed annual assessment that serves as a basis for discussions of Social Security’s financial problems and their solutions. Social Security’s chief actuary prepares and certifies the financial projections for the Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance program, under the direction of the Social Security Board of Trustees.

The 2018 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI) and Federal Disability Insurance (DI) Trust Funds highlights that:

- The combined Social Security trust fund reserves are projected to become depleted during 2034, the same year as projected in last year’s report. If changes to the program are not implemented by that date, only 79 percent of scheduled benefits would be payable after 2034, declining to 74 percent by 2092.
- In 2018, OASDI trust expenditures are expected to exceed income for the first time since 1982 and the combined OASDI trust fund balance is projected to decrease each year until depletion in 2034. In last year’s report, the first year where expenditures were projected to exceed income was 2022.
- To keep Social Security fully solvent for the next 75 years (using the intermediate assumptions), an immediate increase of 2.78 percentage points in the payroll tax rate or an immediate decrease of about 17 percent of benefits for all current and future beneficiaries, or some equivalent change, is required. The analogous numbers from last year’s report were a 2.76 percentage-point increase from the actuarial deficits shown in the table to the right because they assume a trust fund balance of zero at the end of the period, while the amounts in the table include a reserve equal to one year of benefit payments.
- In 2018 there are 2.8 workers supporting each beneficiary. By 2035, the trustees project there will be 2.2 workers supporting each beneficiary. The aging of the Baby Boom generation followed by lower birthrate generations will continue to strain the OASDI system in the foreseeable future.
- Due to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act and the rescission of theDeferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) policy, both in 2017, there is a net negative effect on the OASDI program over the short-range projection period and a negligible effect over the long-range projection period.

The sooner a solution is implemented to ensure the sustainable solvency of Social Security, the less disruptive the required solution will need to be.
Because future events are inherently uncertain, the report contains three 75-year financial projections to illustrate a broad range of possible outcomes. These projections, each based on a different set of assumptions, are referred to as intermediate, low-cost, and high-cost. The report also provides a sensitivity analysis for key assumptions and a projection based on a probability model (i.e., a stochastic forecast). The trustees consider the intermediate projection to be their best estimate. All information in this issue brief is based on the intermediate projection, unless otherwise noted.

When trust fund reserves are depleted, tax income will be sufficient to provide about 75% to 80% of the scheduled benefits. The Social Security Trustees Report quantifies the value of the benefit and/or tax changes required to restore actuarial balance. Any changes would require congressional action. Failure to act would likely cause a delay in some benefit payouts, which, if not corrected by subsequent congressional action, could result in benefit reductions. However, it should be noted that there is no precedent and no legislative guidance for what would happen if reserves are fully depleted.

Overview of Financial Status
The report provides separate measures and tests for solvency in the short range and in the long range. Our discussion of the short-range estimate includes details about the progression of the financial status from last year to this year. Our discussion of the long-range estimate includes an analysis detailing the conclusion that income will not be sufficient to pay for scheduled benefits in the long run. The two periods (short-range and long-range) are discussed separately below.

Short-Range Estimates, 2018–2027
Short-range financial adequacy is measured separately for the Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI) and the Disability Insurance (DI) programs, as well as for the combined Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance (OASDI) trust funds. The trustees have adopted a test for short-range financial adequacy1 based on projected trust fund ratios. A trust fund ratio is the ratio of the trust fund assets at the beginning of the year to the benefits payable during the year.) The OASI fund and the combined OASDI fund meet the short-range financial adequacy test while the DI fund fails the test.

Social Security’s short-range OASDI financial projection is worse than the projection made a year ago. The OASDI trust fund ratio is expected to drop from 288 percent at the beginning of the projection period to 137 percent in the 10th year of the projection period. Last year, the projected OASDI fund ratio in the 10th year was 165 percent. The total change in the projected 10th-year trust fund ratio is a decline of 28 percentage points (165 percent declining to 137 percent). Moving the short-range estimate period one year forward alone caused a decline of 18 percentage points. A reconciliation of the changes in the projected 10th-year trust fund ratio from last year to this year is as follows:

- Moving the short-range estimate period forward one year reduced the fund ratio by 18 percentage points.
- Changes in economic data and assumptions reduced the fund ratio by 16 percentage points.
- Effect of Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 and assumed discontinuance of the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) policy reduced the fund ratio by 5 percentage points.

---
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1 The test of short-range financial adequacy for a trust fund is met if, based on the intermediate assumptions, (1) the estimated trust fund ratio is at least 100 percent at the beginning of the period and remains at or above 100 percent throughout the 10-year short-range period or (2) the ratio is initially less than 100 percent, reaches at least 100 percent within five years (without reserve depletion at any time during this period) and remains at or above 100 percent throughout the remainder of the 10-year short-range period.
• Changes in demographic data and assumptions increased the fund ratio by 2 percentage points.
• Changes in programmatic data and assumptions increased the fund ratio by 9 percentage points.

Trust Fund Asset Reserves
Any excess of tax income over outgo is recorded as an asset reserve of the Social Security trust funds. These trust fund asset reserves are held in special U.S. Treasury securities that totaled $2.9 trillion at the end of 2017 and represent the government’s commitment to repay the borrowed funds whenever Social Security needs the money. For the first time since 1982, trust fund asset reserves are expected to decrease during the next year. Trust assets are projected to decrease by $1.7 billion during 2018 (total income including earnings on trust fund assets is projected to be less than benefit payments during 2018) and then are projected to continue to decline throughout the remainder of the short-range estimate period and beyond.

Income and Cost
Figure 1 above shows the excess/(deficit) of income over cost since 1975. The excess of income over cost has created the current $2.9 trillion in trust fund asset reserves. Starting in 2018, the OASDI trust fund is projected to begin a decline until exhaustion. The current Social Security Trustees Report projects the OASDI trust fund to be depleted in 2034 under the intermediate assumptions.

Long-Range Estimates, 2018–2092
Long-range estimates are based on a 75-year projection that covers the future lifetimes of nearly all current participants (those paying payroll taxes and those already retired) along with future expected participants in the program. The estimates show that, beginning in 2034, trust fund asset reserves are projected to be depleted and the system is expected to revert to a fully pay-as-you-go (PAYGO) system. This date is the same as shown in last year’s report. After reserves are depleted in 2034, Social Security income will be sufficient to pay 79 percent of scheduled benefits initially. This ratio decreases to 74 percent by 2092.
The net annual amounts of income (excluding interest) to, and outgo from, Social Security are expressed in the Trustees Report as percentages of taxable payroll. These percentages are known respectively as the income rate and cost rate. During the long-range estimate period of 2018–2092, the income rate will increase (due to taxation of Social Security benefits) from 12.6 percent to 13.4 percent of annual taxable payroll. The cost rate, meanwhile, will rise from 13.8 percent to 17.8 percent of taxable payroll. The difference between these two rates, called the annual balance, ranges from a deficit of 1.2 percent to a deficit of 4.4 percent of taxable payroll during the period from 2018 to 2092.

The projections show expenditures exceeding non-interest income in every year (as has been the case since 2010) and rising rapidly through 2035 as the Baby Boomers retire. While costs are expected to increase quickly, tax revenue is also expected to grow, but more slowly. After 2035, projected costs are fairly level as a share of both gross domestic product (GDP) and taxable payroll.

Actuarial balance conveys the long-range solvency of Social Security in one number. It is the present value over the 75-year projection period of all income less all costs (including the maintenance of a 1-year cost reserve at the end of the 75-year period), divided by the present value of the taxable payroll over the same period. This ratio represents the average annual amount (expressed as a percent of taxable payroll) by which income would need to change to have trust fund assets equal to one year of scheduled benefits at the end of the 75-year projection period. The actuarial balance worsened, from a negative 2.83 percent to a negative 2.84 percent, from the 2017 to the 2018 Trustees Report. Refer to the appendix for an expanded definition of actuarial balance.

The long-range expected increase in Social Security program costs relative to program income is caused principally by demographic trends. These demographic trends are very well known and are generally referred to as “aging” or, sometimes, as “the aging of America.” It is useful to further separate the aging trend into two components:

(i) macro-aging, which is observed at the population level and refers to a shift in the age distribution of the population caused by the large decrease in birth rates beginning in the mid-1960s (the fertility drop after the large Baby Boom generation); and

(ii) micro-aging, which can be observed at an individual level and refers to the expected long-term increase in life expectancies caused by individuals living longer, on average, in each succeeding generation.

A third demographic component, which acts to partially offset macro-aging, is net immigration. Because immigrants tend to be younger than the average covered OASDI worker, higher immigration can offset some of the change in the age distribution of the population caused by lower birth rates.
The ratio of covered workers to Social Security beneficiaries is expected to decrease significantly from 2.8 in 2017 to 2.2 in 2035, primarily due to macro-aging, and then micro-aging will cause the ratio to further decline, albeit more slowly, to 2.0, by the end of the 75-year projection period. This decrease over the projection period of approximately 30 percent is important in a system in which the dollars paid in must equal the dollars paid out—a PAYGO system.

Figure 3 shows the projected growth in the number of Social Security beneficiaries relative to the covered working population, under the three sets of assumptions.

Achieving Sustainable Solvency
In order to achieve viability of Social Security in the foreseeable future, any modifications to the system should include sustainable solvency as a primary goal. Sustainable solvency means that not only will the program be solvent for the next 75 years under the reform methods adopted, but also that the trust fund reserves at the end of the 75-year period will be stable or increasing as a percentage of annual program cost. Refer to the appendix for a more complete explanation of sustainable solvency.

Providing for solvency beyond the next 75 years will require changes to address micro-aging, as beneficiaries will likely be receiving benefits for ever-longer periods of retirement.

Regardless of the types of changes ultimately enacted into law, measures to address Social Security’s financial condition will best serve the public if implemented sooner rather than later. Some advantages of acting promptly are:

- Announcing changes to Social Security far in advance of implementation gives future beneficiaries time to plan for all aspects of retirement and modify their own financial planning.
- Implementation of program changes can be more gradual and span multiple generations of retirees.
- Public trust in the financial soundness of the Social Security program will improve.

Providing for solvency both during and after the period where the macro-aging trend impacts Social Security requires a timely and thoughtful solution. At the same time that changes address the Baby Boom bulge, consideration should be given to address the ongoing micro-aging trends.

FIGURE 3: NUMBER OF SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFICIARIES PER 100 WORKERS
Low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost projections.
Appendix

Measures of Financial Status
The metrics used by the trustees to present the program’s financial status are discussed in more detail below.

Actuarial Balance

**Actuarial balance** is calculated as the difference between the summarized income rate and the summarized cost rate over a period of years. The summarized income rate is the ratio of any existing trust fund plus the sum of the present value of scheduled tax income for each year of the period to the sum of the present value of taxable payroll for each year of the period. The summarized cost rate is the ratio of the present value of cost for each year of the period, including one year’s outgo at the end of the period, to the sum of the present value of taxable payroll for each year of the period. “**Achieving actuarial balance**” means having a non-negative actuarial balance. Table 1 shows the components of actuarial balance.

In the 75-year period, 2018–2092, the actuarial deficit is 2.84 percentage points. The actuarial deficit increased from the comparable figure of 2.83 percentage points a year ago due to a combination of factors, including changes in demographic data and assumptions, changes in economic data and assumptions, and legislative and policy changes.

An immediate increase of 2.78 percentage points in the payroll tax (from 12.4 percent of payroll to 15.18 percent of payroll), a benefit reduction of about 17 percent, or some combination of the two, would pay all benefits during the period, but would not end the period with any trust fund reserve.

The high-cost 75-year projection in the Trustees Report shows a far greater actuarial deficit—6.62 percent of taxable payroll. The low-cost projection is much more favorable, with a small positive actuarial balance for the 75-year period.

Trust Fund Ratios

The **trust fund ratio**, equal to trust fund assets as a percentage of the following year’s cost, is an important measure of short-term solvency. A trust fund ratio of at least 100 percent indicates the ability to cover the expected scheduled benefits and expenses for the next year without any additional income. Figure 4 shows projected trust fund ratios under all three sets of assumptions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Summarized Income Rate</th>
<th>Summarized Cost Rate</th>
<th>Actuarial Balance (percentage points)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25-year (2018-2042)</td>
<td>14.59%</td>
<td>16.36%</td>
<td>-1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-year (2018-2067)</td>
<td>14.01%</td>
<td>16.45%</td>
<td>-2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-year (2018-2092)</td>
<td>13.84%</td>
<td>16.69%</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 The results shown in the Actuarial Balance column may not be equal to the difference between Summarized Income Rate and Summarized Cost Rate because of rounding.
As a measure of long-range solvency, the trust fund ratio shows when the program is expected to deplete reserves and become unable to pay full benefits scheduled under current law. Figure 4 illustrates that the combined OASDI trust fund reserve depletion occurs in 2034 under the intermediate projection.

**Sustainable Solvency**

**Sustainable solvency** means the program is not expected to deplete reserves any time in the 75-year projection period, and trust fund ratios are expected to finish the 75-year projection period on a stable or upward trend.

Sustainable solvency is a stronger standard than actuarial balance in two ways. First, actuarial balance is based on averages over time, without regard to year-by-year figures that could indicate inability to pay full benefits from trust fund assets at some point along the way. Second, a positive actuarial balance can exist even when trust fund ratios toward the end of the period are trending sharply downward.

Sustainable solvency, in contrast, requires strict year-by-year projected solvency and trust fund ratios that are level or trending upward toward the end of the period. For example, following the last major Social Security reform in 1983, the 1983 Trustees Report projected a positive actuarial balance under the intermediate assumptions, but the annual balances (annual difference between the income rate and the cost rate) were negative and declining at the end of the 75-year period. That report showed a positive actuarial balance but did not show sustainable solvency. As a result, the actuarial balance generally has been declining since then, primarily as a consequence of the passage of time. It is important to note that this result was exactly what the Trustees Report projected in 1983. More than 30 years later, it should be no surprise that large and growing actuarial deficits are now projected at the end of the long-range projection period. Adequate financing beyond 2092, or sustainable solvency, would require larger program changes than needed to achieve actuarial balance.

**Unfunded Obligation**

The **unfunded obligation** is another way of measuring Social Security’s long-term financial commitment. To compute it, the year-by-year streams of future estimated cost and income are discounted with interest and then summed to obtain their present values. Based on these present values, the general formula for computing the unfunded obligation is:

\[
\text{Present value of future cost (benefits and expenses)} - \text{Present value of future income from taxes} - \text{Current trust fund assets}
\]
The unfunded obligation may be computed and presented in several ways. Perhaps the most useful way is based on taxes and benefits for an open group of participants over the next 75 years, including many people not yet born, the same as was calculated in the basic projections. That methodology is consistent with the primarily pay-as-you-go way the program is designed and run. Although the trustees provide alternative calculations based on the closed group of current participants, we believe the open-group basis avoids certain misleading outcomes. For example, if the program had an actuarial balance of exactly zero (i.e., projected resources match projected obligations), the open-group measure of the unfunded obligation would be zero, while the closed-group measure would show a substantial unfunded obligation.

The dollar amount of unfunded obligation is easier to interpret if put in perspective—for example, by comparing it with the size of the economy over the same period. The unfunded obligation is often presented as a percentage of the present value of either taxable payroll or of GDP. At the beginning of 2018, the open-group unfunded obligation over the next 75 years was $13.2 trillion (up from $12.5 trillion last year). This now represents 2.68 percent of taxable payroll (2.66 percent last year), or 1.0 percent of GDP (0.9 percent last year).

In recent years, the Trustees Reports have also presented the unfunded obligation based on stretching the 75-year projection period into infinity. The infinite horizon projections project all annual balances beyond 75 years assuming that the current law, demographic assumptions, and economic trends from the 75-year projection continue indefinitely; in practice, this is highly problematic. Projections over an infinite time period have an extremely high degree of uncertainty. Troublesome inconsistencies can arise among demographic and program-specific assumptions. By assuming that longevity keeps increasing forever while retirement ages remain static, for example, the infinite time period forecast will eventually result in an extremely long period of retirement.

**Measures of Uncertainty**

Because the future is unknown, the trustees use alternative projections and other methods to assess how the financial results may vary with changing economic and demographic experience.

**Alternative Sets of Assumptions**

Table 2 shows a comparison between recent values and ultimate long-range values of five key assumptions used in each of the three projections. Fertility, productivity growth, and real-wage growth ultimate values have not changed from last year’s report. The ultimate values of the mortality reduction assumption exhibit some slightly smaller reductions when compared to last year’s Trustees Report. The ultimate values for annual net immigration have decreased in part due to a Department of Homeland Security clarification regarding the expected number of future legal permanent residents.
TABLE 3: SENSITIVITY TO VARYING ANY OF THREE KEY ASSUMPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity Assumption</th>
<th>Results based on Intermediate Assumptions, with one assumption changed at a time</th>
<th>Favorable Change</th>
<th>Intermediate Assumption</th>
<th>Unfavorable Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fertility Rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate total fertility rate</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-year actuarial deficit</td>
<td>2.46%</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mortality Reduction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average annual reduction (in adjusted death rates over 75-year period)</td>
<td>0.41%</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>1.15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-year actuarial deficit</td>
<td>2.36%</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Real-Wage Growth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate percentage increase in wages in excess of CPI</td>
<td>1.82%</td>
<td>1.20%</td>
<td>0.58%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-year actuarial deficit</td>
<td>1.78%</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
<td>3.93%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>2033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the 2018 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Federal Disability Insurance Trust Funds

**Sensitivity Analysis**

The low-cost and high-cost projections change all the major intermediate assumptions at once in the same direction, either favorably or unfavorably. In contrast, there might be some interest in how the projections change when only one key assumption is changed at a time, either favorably or unfavorably. A sensitivity analysis shows exactly this. Just one assumption is changed at a time to determine the financial impact. Table 3 gives results of three sensitivity tests focusing on total fertility rate, mortality reduction, and real-wage growth. A significant component of the differences between low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost projections is the real-wage growth (specifically the difference between inflation and real wages, or the real-wage differential). Actuarial balances as well as the projected depletion dates change materially based on changes to this component.

If the real-wage growth assumption were changed from 1.20 percent to 1.82 percent, for example, the actuarial deficit would be reduced from 2.84 percent of taxable payroll to 1.78 percent, and the year of trust fund asset reserve depletion would be extended from 2034 to 2037.
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