Re: Pension Funding Provisions of Transportation Bill

Dear Majority Leader Reid, Minority Leader McConnell, Speaker Boehner, and Minority Leader Pelosi:

On behalf of the American Academy of Actuaries' Pension Practice Council, I bring to your attention concerns we have over certain proposals to raise revenue from the private sector pension system to offset unrelated spending increases. The transportation bill passed by the House (HR 5021) and a similar bill being considered by the Senate would lower funding requirements for single employer defined benefit plans.

The changes to pension funding rules and insurance premiums should be carefully evaluated based primarily on their effect on the private sector pension system and its stakeholders (participants, sponsors, and the PBGC), rather than primarily as a means to offset spending for other purposes.

We have established seven principles as guidelines for any changes in funding requirements. These principles are solvency, predictability and hedgeability, incentives to fund, avoidance of moral hazards, transparency, simplicity, and transition. We urge Congress to deliberate on any changes to funding rules openly, to evaluate any changes according to these seven principles, and to conduct public hearings to allow opportunity for public comment.

1 The American Academy of Actuaries is an 18,000-member professional association whose mission is to serve the public and the U.S. actuarial profession. The Academy assists public policymakers on all levels by providing leadership, objective expertise, and actuarial advice on risk and financial security issues. The Academy also sets qualification, practice, and professionalism standards for actuaries in the United States.

2 [http://www.actuary.org/files/funding_single.4.pdf](http://www.actuary.org/files/funding_single.4.pdf)
We previously expressed concern to the MAP-21 conference committee on May 2, 2012, about the pension funding provisions under consideration then.\(^3\) We noted that the legislation’s use of interest rates based on a 25-year average of corporate bond rates produce funding targets that “… are insufficient to settle obligations or fund obligations with a low risk portfolio and do not provide meaningful information about the current funded status of the plan.”\(^4\) HR 5021 would extend these provisions for an additional five years.

Should Congress decide to proceed with the Bill, one important modification should be made. Currently the bill allows plan sponsors to opt out of the revised interest rates for all purposes (or solely for benefit restrictions) for plan years beginning before January 1, 2014. This ability should also be provided for plan years beginning 2014 (or through some date after the bill becomes law) as plans may already have implemented benefit restrictions for 2014 plan years that would not be permitted under the Bill as written.

In addition to the proposals regarding funding requirements, there has been discussion concerning additional PBGC premium increases. From 2012 to 2016, the scheduled flat-rate premium for single-employer plans is increasing 91 percent and the variable rate premium is increasing more than 200 percent. Yet, the aggregate underwriting experience (premiums received less liabilities assumed) of the PBGC during the past eight years has been favorable. In evaluating the current premium level and structure, as well as possible changes thereto, primary consideration should be given to the risks inherent in the pension system and the effects on all stakeholders. These issues are not being appropriately considered when premium-increase proposals are added to unrelated legislation as a “pay-for” to enable other priorities. Further premium increases will increase the cost of plan sponsorship and could accelerate the rate of plan closures, plan terminations, and other sponsor efforts to transfer risks to participants, which include offering lump sum distributions to current retirees.

******

The American Academy of Actuaries’ Pension Practice Council appreciates your attention to these concerns. We would be happy to meet with you at your convenience to provide additional perspectives on proposed changes to the private pension system. Please contact Matthew Mulling, the Academy’s pension policy analyst (202-785-7868, Mulling@actuary.org) if you have any questions or would like to discuss this issue further.

Sincerely,

Donald E Fuerst, MAAA, FSA, FCA, EA
Senior Pension Fellow
American Academy of Actuaries

CC: U.S. Members of Congress

---

\(^3\) Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act [subsequently, Public Law No: 112-141].
\(^4\) http://www.actuary.org/files/Letter%20on%20Funding%20Stabilization%20VI%20Merge%20Comments_Conferences_05_02_2012.pdf