June 15, 2022

The Honorable Sherrod Brown Chairman Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs U.S. Senate Washington, D.C. 20515 The Honorable Pat Toomey Ranking Member Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs U.S. Senate Washington, D.C. 20515

Via Email

Dear Chairman Brown and Ranking Member Toomey:

On behalf of the Property and Casualty Extreme Events and Property Lines Committee (committee) of the American Academy of Actuaries¹, I am writing to share the committee's perspectives on the reauthorization of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The committee previously provided <u>comments</u> to the House Financial Services Subcommittee on Housing Community Development and Insurance for their hearing on reauthorization and potential changes to the NFIP. As Congress considers reauthorization of this critical program and potentially modifying it, the committee suggests the following considerations:

- Avoiding Pricing Disparity and Funding Problems—Currently, surcharges are imposed on NFIP policies to help pay for the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) flood mapping program and the repayment of the NFIP's debt to the U.S. Treasury. If similar surcharges are not imposed on privately issued insurance policies, there will be an artificial pricing disparity between private insurance coverage and those offered by the NFIP. In addition, any net migration of policies from the NFIP to the private market will result in a reduction in funds for flood mapping and debt retirement.
- Resolving the Debt Question—The NFIP's current debt to the U.S. Treasury of \$20.5 billion (plus annual interest charges of around \$400 million) is almost entirely the result of claims paid out after mega-storms such as Hurricane Katrina, Superstorm Sandy, Hurricane Harvey, and Hurricane Ida. The Congressional Budget Office, the Government Accountability Office, FEMA, and the American Academy of Actuaries' Casualty

¹ The American Academy of Actuaries is a 19,500-member professional association whose mission is to serve the public and the U.S. actuarial profession. For more than 50 years, the Academy has assisted public policymakers on all levels by providing leadership, objective expertise, and actuarial advice on risk and financial security issues. The Academy also sets qualification, practice, and professionalism standards for actuaries in the United States.

Practice Council all have noted that the NFIP's premium income by itself is not sufficient to cover "normal" year losses, purchase reinsurance, and repay debt from mega-storms. A realistic limit should be set on what the NFIP can be expected to pay through cash flow and reinsurance, with some form of public absorption of losses beyond that.

- Making Data Available—The NFIP has accumulated a great deal of historical flood loss data that would be helpful to private insurers should they contemplate entering this market. Making historical loss data accessible to insurers and analysts could facilitate growth of the private market and help to make NFIP operations more transparent.
- Modernizing Flood Mapping—The NFIP's flood mapping and risk assessment standards were established in the 1970s and have not kept pace with changes in technology and methods (such as improved catastrophe models, lidar measurements, and more data analytics) used in the insurance industry. Updating the NFIP's flood mapping technology and assessments would help to modernize the program, better align it with current insurance industry practices, and improve the accuracy of ratings.
- Changing the NFIP Mitigation Program—Taking steps to reduce future losses is an
 important way to help policyholders and protect taxpayers. The NFIP currently spends
 most of its mitigation funds on upgrades to properties that have already suffered losses
 while providing little help to homeowners who want to act to proactively avoid future
 losses.
- Aligning Coverages—NFIP policies differ from private insurance policies in several
 ways, including providing replacement costs, living expenses, business interruption
 coverage, and adjustments to limits of coverage. Making these benefits available to NFIP
 policyholders at appropriate prices would improve their protection and help to better
 align the program with other coverages that are available in the private market.
- Taking Into Account Rising Sea Level—Rising sea level is an observed fact², with non-storm coastal flooding now occurring regularly in some areas and with more frequent "sunny day" high-tide nuisance flooding in many areas of the United States³. This presents challenges both in the number of properties that are at risk and in the expected increase in the severity of damage from future storms. The long-term financial solidity of the NFIP may be at risk if local building codes are not revised with regard to the potential for future events that exceed current assumptions.

The Property and Casualty Extreme Events and Property Lines Committee identifies possible extreme events (low frequency-high severity events that could generate extremely large property/casualty losses). The committee also identifies issues relevant to the treatment of such risks including sizing, insurability, pricing, funding, reserving, capital management, and loss mitigation. Included in the committee's charge is the monitoring of federal, state, and international catastrophe legislation and regulation. For more information, please read our September 2020 monograph, *The National Flood Insurance Program: Challenges and Solutions*.

-

² https://climate.nasa.gov/vital-signs/sea-level/

³ https://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/HighTideFlooding AnnualOutlook.html

You may also find useful the <u>Actuaries Climate Index</u>, which contains measurement of several climate-related indicators including rainfall and sea level.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss these comments, please feel free to contact Rob Fischer, Casualty Policy Analyst, at fischer@actuary.org.

Sincerely,

Steve Kolk, MAAA, ACAS Vice Chair, Extreme Events Committee American Academy of Actuaries