

Observations on Life Insurers Deferred Tax Assets

Presented by the American Academy of Actuaries' Life Capital Adequacy Subcommittee to the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' Life Risk-Based Capital Working Group

San Diego, CA – December 2002

The American Academy of Actuaries is the public policy organization for actuaries practicing in all specialties within the United States. A major purpose of the Academy is to act as the public information organization for the profession. The Academy is non-partisan and assists the public policy process through the presentation of clear and objective actuarial analysis. The Academy regularly prepares testimony for Congress, provides information to federal elected officials, comments on proposed federal regulations, and works closely with state officials on issues related to insurance. The Academy also develops and upholds actuarial standards of conduct, qualification and practice and the Code of Professional Conduct for all actuaries practicing in the United States.

Life Capital Adequacy Subcommittee

Alastair G. Longley-Cook, F.S.A., M.A.A.A., Chair Robert A. Brown, F.S.A., M.A.A.A., Vice-Chair

Gerald A. Anderson, F.S.A., M.A.A.A. Jeffrey M. Brown, F.S.A., M.A.A.A. Joseph L. Dunn, F.S.A., M.A.A.A. Robert G. Meilander, F.S.A., M.A.A.A. David E. Neve, F.S.A., M.A.A.A. Jan L. Pollnow, F.S.A., M.A.A.A. Mark C. Rowley, F.S.A., M.A.A.A. William H. Wilton, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.

Stephen M. Batza, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.
Martin Claire, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.
Luke N. Girard, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.
Arnold N. Greenspoon, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.
Keith D. Osinski, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.
Craig R. Raymond, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.
Michael S. Smith, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.
George M. Wahle, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.
Michael L. Zurcher, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.

The subcommittee would also like to thank Jim Reiskytl (who recently retired) for all of his efforts.

The attached surveys (included as Appendices A and B) were distributed to members of the Life Capital Adequacy Subcommittee and completed on two separate occasions. The following observations were collected based on the answers received.

Deferred Tax Assets (DTAs) Life Insurer Observations:

The primary focus for Risk-Based Capital (RBC) is to measure the volatility in this item. At this time, it is premature to come to any firm conclusions, since we only have 1.5 years of actual results.

The secondary focus is the actual recognition of DTAs. At the current time, only 30 to 40 percent of the total DTAs are statutorily recognized for most of the companies. This limited recognition may effect whether the factor applied to DTAs for RBC should be changed.

- 1. Ten to twenty percent of total DTAs arise from items with likely volatile items primarily from unrealized common stock losses or securities write-offs.
- 2. The top three most significant portions of total DTAs that are relatively stable arise from:
 - a) differences in tax and statutory reserves,
 - b) deferred acquisition costs, and
 - c) non-admitted assets

These items tend to be relatively stable from year to year.

- 3. Typically, sixty to seventy percent of the total DTAs are non-admitted, but the actual results from individual companies can range from zero to 100 percent
- 4. Major Factors affecting admissibility in the statutory financial statement are:
 - a) assumed timing of reversal of assets (amount expected to reverse in one year),
 - b) pay-out patterns of reserves,
 - c) separate company Net Operating Loss (NOL) carry-back limitations, and
 - d) unrealized gains
- 5. An informal survey (see appendices) of Life Capital Adequacy Subcommittee (LCAS) member company responses were that future change in DTAs admissibility is either likely to be the same as it is now or unable to be estimated at this time.
- 6. DTAs can be reduced by realizing the losses on investments. This does not change the RBC amount; it simply changes a future possibility to a certainty.
- 7. DTAs can also be reduced by recovery of the stock market values. This change too is a positive event, since common stock values have improved more than the offsetting deferred tax losses of unrealized losses.

The LCAS will continue to monitor the DTA/DTL results. The next report will be prepared based on year-end financial results. If anything noteworthy were to occur, this will be reported at the next LRBC Working Group meeting.

LIFE RBC DTA Survey

<u>Items with Likely Volatility</u>	M:11:
Investment Items Total	Millions \$
Bonds	
Capital Gains/Losses	
Other Items with Relative Stability	
Including: Reserve Items, Deferred Acquisition Costs, Policyholder Div	ridends,
Deferred Compensation, Prepaid Employee Benefits/Pensions,	
Non-Admitted Assets, and Other*	\$
TOTAL DTA'S	\$
Please identify the top three or four specific items that are the most signify your DTAs.	icant portion of
How many, if any, of your DTAs are non-admitted? Do you believe this padmitted is generally likely to increase? Stay about the same? Or decreas the major factors affecting their admissibility?	
Please return your replies to Steve English at the American Academy of at by May 20. Thanks for your help. All replies will be kept and reported only in the aggregate.	

LIFE RBC DTA Survey

Items with Likely Volatility	6-month Results (Millions)	Estimated Year-end (Millions)
Investment Items Total	\$	
Bonds		
Capital Gains/Losses		
Other Items with Relative Stability		
Including: Reserve Items, Deferred Acquisition Costs, Policyholder Compensation, Prepaid Employee Benefits/Pensions, Non-Admitted	•	rred
	\$	
TOTAL DTA'S	\$	
Please identify the top three or four specific items that are the most significant to the second of	gnificant portion o	of your DTAs
How many, if any, of your DTAs are non-admitted? Do you believe the generally likely to increase? Stay about the same? Or decrease? Don factors affecting their admissibility?		
*Please return your replies to Steve English at the American Academy english@actuary.org by August 21. Thanks for your help. All replie		fidential.